

Effect of Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity on Stochastic Burst Synchronization in A Scale-Free Neural Network

Sang-Yoon Kim and Woochang Lim
Institute for Computational Neuroscience and Department of Science Education
Daegu National University of Education, Daegu 42411, S. Korea

Introduction

Stochastic Burst Synchronization (SBS)

Subthreshold neurons: Fire only with the help of noise and exhibit irregular discharges like Geiger counter
Bursting: Neuronal activity alternates, on a slow timescale, between a silent phase and an active (bursting) phase of fast repetitive spiking

SBS: Population synchronization between complex noise-induced burstings of subthreshold neurons
& correlated with brain function of encoding sensory stimuli in the noisy environment
Previous works on SBS: Synaptic strengths were static.

Spike-Timing-Dependent Plasticity (STDP)

Synaptic Plasticity: In real brains synaptic strengths may vary to adapt to environment (potentiated or depressed)

STDP: Plasticity depending on the relative time difference between the pre-and the post-synaptic burst onset times

Purpose of Our Study

Investigation of Effect of the STDP on the SBS in the Scale-Free Network (SFN)

Excitatory SFN of Subthreshold Izhikevich Regular Spiking Neurons

Governing Equations

$$f(v) = 0.04v^2 + 5v + 140,$$

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = f(v_i) - u_i + I_{DC,i} + D\xi_i - I_{syn,i},$$

$$I_{syn,i} = \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{j=1}^N J_{ij} w_{ij} s_j(t) (v_i - V_{syn}),$$

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = a(bv_i - u_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, N,$$

$$s_j(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{F_j} E(t - t_l^{(j)} - \tau_j),$$

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{\tau_d - \tau_r} (e^{-t/\tau_d} - e^{-t/\tau_r}) \Theta(t).$$

$$a = 0.02, b = 0.2, c = -65, d = 8, v_p = 30$$

$$\tau_r = 1, \tau_d = 0.5, \tau_d = 2, V_{syn} = 0$$

$$I_{DC,i} \in [3.55, 3.65]$$

$$I_{in} = I_{out} = I^*$$

$$I_{in} = I^* + \Delta I, \quad I_{out} = I^* + \Delta I$$

Barabási-Albert SFN

- Growth and preferential directed attachment with I_{in} incoming edges and I_{out} outgoing edges
- Power-law degree distribution
- Hub group (outside rectangle): Head hub (with highest degree) & secondary hub (with higher degrees)
- Peripheral group (inside rectangle): Majority of nodes (with lower degrees)
- Symmetric attachment: $I_{in} = I_{out} = I^*$
- Asymmetric attachment: $I_{in} = I^* + \Delta I, \quad I_{out} = I^* + \Delta I$

Coupling-Induced Bursting

When J passes a threshold for a fixed value of $D=0.3$, noise-induce burstings occur.

SBS for $I^*=10$ in the Absence of STDP

Initial coupling strengths $\{J_{ij}\}$: Gaussian distribution with mean $J_0=0.2$ and standard deviation $\sigma=0.02$

Raster Plots of Spikes and Burst Onset Times

Appearance of stripes in the raster plot for synchronous case

Instantaneous Population Burst Rate (IPBR)

$$R_b(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} K_b(t - t_j^{(i)});$$

$$K_b(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-t^2/2\sigma^2}, \quad -\infty < t < \infty$$

Thermodynamic Bursting Order Parameter: $O_b \equiv (R_b(t) - R_b(t))^2$

Synchronized (desynchronized) state:

O_b approach non-zero (zero) limit values for $N \rightarrow \infty$

SBS in $D^* (\sim 0.1173) < D < D_b^* (\sim 18.4)$ via competition between the constructive and the destructive roles of noise.

Statistical-Mechanical Bursting Measure M_b

Pacing degree of the i th bursting stripe: averaging the contributions to $R_b(t)$ of all microscopic burst onset times in the i th bursting stripe

$$P_i^{(b)} = \frac{1}{B_i} \sum_{k=1}^{B_i} \cos \Phi_k^{(b)}; \quad B_i: \text{Number of burst onset times in the } i\text{th bursting stripe}$$

$$M_b = \frac{1}{N_b} \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} P_i^{(b)}; \quad \Phi_k^{(b)}: \text{global phase of burst onset time}$$

Effect of Scale-Free Connectivity on the SBS in the Absence of STDP

Symmetric Attachment

Increasing I^* : Better efficiency of global communication
& Decrease in population-average mean bursting rate $\langle f_b \rangle$ and standard deviation σ_b
→ Increase in the degree of SBS

Asymmetric Attachment

Increasing (decreasing) ΔI from 0: Worse efficiency of global communication
& Decrease (increase) in $\langle f_b \rangle$ and σ_b
→ Increase (decrease) in the degree of SBS
Better individual dynamics overcome worse efficiency of communication

Hebbian STDP

Additive STDP Rule: $J_{ij} \rightarrow J_{ij} + \delta \Delta J_{ij}(\Delta t_{ij}); \quad \delta = 0.005, J_{ij} \in [0.0001, 5.0]$

$\Delta t_{ij} = t_i^{(post)} - t_j^{(pre)}$: Time difference between the nearest burst onset times of the post-synaptic neuron i and the pre-synaptic neuron j .

Time Window for the Hebbian STDP

$$\Delta J_{ij} = \begin{cases} A_+ e^{-\Delta t_{ij}/\tau_+} & \text{for } \Delta t_{ij} > 0 \\ -A_- e^{\Delta t_{ij}/\tau_-} & \text{for } \Delta t_{ij} < 0 \end{cases} \quad A_+ = 1.0, A_- = 0.6, \tau_+ = 15 \text{ msec}, \tau_- = 30 \text{ msec}, \Delta J_{ij}(\Delta t_{ij} = 0) = 0.$$

When a post synaptic burst follows a pre-synaptic burst ($\Delta t_{ij} > 0$), LTP appears; otherwise ($\Delta t_{ij} < 0$), LTD occurs

Nearest-Burst Pair-Based STDP Rule

LTP/LTD may occur between the pre- and the post-synaptic burst onset times in the same or the nearest-neighbor bursting stripes.

Effect of the Additive STDP on SBS for $I^*=10$

Time-Evolutions of Population-Averaged Synaptic Strength $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$

$D=0.3, 5, 9$ and 13 : $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ increases monotonically above its initial value $J_0 (=0.2)$, and it approaches a saturated limit value $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle \rightarrow \text{LTP}$
 $D=0.1175$ and 17.5 : $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ decreases monotonically below J_0 , and it approaches $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle \rightarrow \text{LTD}$

Histograms for Fraction of Synapses J_{ij}^*

$\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ becomes larger (smaller) than the initial value for the case of LTP (LTD). The standard deviations are very larger than the initial one ($=0.02$).

Population-Averaged Limit Values of Synaptic Strengths $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$, versus D

LTP occurs in (\bar{D}_l^*, \bar{D}_l) ; ($\bar{D}_l^* \sim 0.1179, \bar{D}_l \sim 17.336$). In most range of the SBS LTP occurs, while LTD takes place only near both ends.

Raster Plots of Burst Onset Times and IPBR $R_b(t)$

LTP → The degrees of SBS are increased.
LTD → The population states become desynchronized.

"Matthew" Effect in M_b

LTP → Good burst synchronization gets better.
LTD → Bad burst synchronization gets worse.

Effect of Network Architecture on SBS in the Presence of STDP

Symmetric Attachment

Occurrence of LTP (LTD) for $I^* \geq 6$ ($I^* \leq 5$)
Occurrence of Matthew Effect in M_b :
Rapid step-like transition to SBS

Asymmetric Attachment

Occurrence of LTP (LTD) for $\Delta I \geq -7$ ($\Delta I \leq -8$)
Occurrence of Matthew Effect in M_b

Distribution of Microscopic Time Delays between the Pre- and the Post-Synaptic Burst Onset Times and Synaptic Modifications for $I^*=10$

Population-Averaged Histograms $H(\Delta t_{ij})$ for $\{\Delta t_{ij}\}$ during $t=0 \sim$ saturation time $t^* (=2000 \text{ sec})$

LTP ($D=0.3, 5, 9, 13$): 3 peaks.
One main central peaks (same bursting stripe) and two minor left and right peaks (different nearest-neighbor bursting stripes)

LTD ($D=0.1175$ & 17.5): Single broad peak via a merging of the above main and minor peaks

Population-Averaged Synaptic Modification $\langle \Delta J_{ij} \rangle$, Obtained from $H(\Delta t_{ij})$

$\langle \Delta J_{ij} \rangle = \sum_{\Delta t_{ij}} H(\Delta t_{ij}) \cdot \Delta J_{ij}(\Delta t_{ij})$
Population-averaged limit values of synaptic strengths $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle (= J_0 + \delta \langle \Delta J_{ij} \rangle)$ agree well with direct-obtained values.

Microscopic Cross-Correlations between Synaptic Pairs for $I^*=10$

Microscopic Correlation Measure M_c

M_c : Average "in-phase" degree between the pre- and the post-synaptic pairs

$$M_c = \frac{1}{N_{syn}} \sum_{i,j} C_{i,j}(0), \quad C_{i,j}(\tau) = \frac{\Delta r_i(t+\tau) \Delta r_j(t)}{\sqrt{\Delta r_i^2(t)} \sqrt{\Delta r_j^2(t)}}$$

$D=0.3$ & 13 ($D=0.27$ & 0.7): M_c increases and approaches a limit value (zero).

Widths w_b of Bursting Stripes

Strong (weak) $M_c \rightarrow w_b$ decreases (increases) → Narrow (wide) distribution of $\Delta t_{ij} \rightarrow \text{LTP}$ (LTD)

Time-Evolutions of Normalized Histogram $H(\Delta t_{ij})$ for $\{\Delta t_{ij}\}$

LTP: 3 peaks → Peaks become narrowed.
→ Main peak becomes symmetric.
LTD: 3 peaks → Merged into the single broad peak
→ Peak becomes symmetric.

Time-Evolutions of $\langle \Delta J_{ij} \rangle$ Obtained from $H(\Delta t_{ij})$

$D=13$ ($D=17.5$): $\langle \Delta J_{ij} \rangle$ is positive (negative)
 $\langle \Delta J_{ij} \rangle$ approach 0 because $H(\Delta t_{ij})$ become symmetric.
→ LTP (LTD)

Mathew Effect in M_c

M_c : Mathew effect also occurs.

Effect of the Multiplicative STDP on the SBS for $I^*=10$

Multiplicative STDP Rule: Soft bound for the synaptic strength

$J_{ij} \rightarrow J_{ij} + (J^* - J_{ij}) \delta \Delta J_{ij}(\Delta t_{ij})$ $J^* = J_b(J_l)$ for the LTP (LTD) ($J_b = 5$ & $J_l = 0.0001$)

Time-Evolutions of Population-Averaged Synaptic Strength

Soft bound → Saturation time is shorter and $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ are smaller than the additive STDP case.

Histograms for Fraction of J_{ij}^*

$D=0.3, 5, 9, 13$ (LTP): $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ becomes larger than the initial value.
 $D=0.1175$ & 17.5 (LTD): $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ becomes smaller than the initial value

Both cases, standard deviations σ of $\{J_{ij}^*\}$ are smaller than those for the case of additive STDP and the initial value ($=0.02$).

Population-Averaged Limit Values of Synaptic Strengths $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$, versus D

LTP occurs in (\bar{D}_l^*, \bar{D}_l) ; ($\bar{D}_l^* \sim 0.1179, \bar{D}_l \sim 17.336$). Both $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ and its standard deviation σ are smaller than those for the case of the additive STDP.

Gradual change near the thresholds in contrast to the case of the additive STDP

Raster Plots of Burst Onset Times and IPBR $R_b(t)$

LTP → The degrees of SBS are increased.
LTD → The population states become desynchronized

Effect of smaller σ (increasing the degree of SBS):
Much more than the effect of smaller $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ (decreasing the degree of SBS) → Average maximum $\langle R_b(\max) \rangle$ of $R_b(t)$:
A little larger for the multiplicative case.

Effect of Multiplicative STDP on M_b and M_c

Occurrence of Matthew effect in both M_b and M_c

Effect of smaller σ (increasing M_b and M_c): Much more than the effect of smaller $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ (decreasing M_b and M_c)
→ M_b and M_c : A little larger than those in the additive case

Changes in M_b and M_c near the thresholds: Relatively less rapid than the additive case due to soft bounds

Summary

Stochastic Burst Synchronization (SBS) in the Absence of STDP

- SBS between complex noise-induced burstings of subthreshold neurons: Correlated with brain function of encoding sensory stimuli in the noisy environment.
- Occurrence of SBS in intermediated noise intensities via competition between the constructive and the destructive roles of noise.
- Previous works on SBS: Synaptic strengths were static.

Investigation of The Effect of Additive STDP on the SBS

- Occurrence of "Matthew" effect in synaptic plasticity
→ Good burst synchronization gets better via LTP, while bad burst synchronization gets worse via LTD.
- Effect of the network architecture on SBS: Investigated by varying the degree of symmetric attachment and asymmetric attachment parameter
- Emergences of LTP and LTD: Intensively investigated via microscopic studies based on both the distributions of time delays between the pre- and the post-synaptic burst onset times and the pair-correlations between the pre- and the post-synaptic IIBRs.

Investigation of The Effect of Multiplicative STDP on the SBS

- Soft bounds (a change in synaptic strengths scales linearly with the distance to the higher and the bounds) in the synaptic strengths in contrast to the hard bounds for the additive case.
- Occurrence of Matthew effect in M_b .
- Relatively less rapid transition near the thresholds, in comparison to the rapid transition for the additive case.
- Both $\langle J_{ij}^* \rangle$ and the standard deviation σ of $\{J_{ij}^*\}$: Smaller than those for the case of additive STDP
- Degrees of SBS in most LTP cases: A little larger than those in the additive case.